

CITY OF PUNTA GORDA ADA TRANSITION PLAN FINAL REPORT

NOVEMBER 2018

Prepared For:

City of Punta Gorda 326 West Marion Avenue Punta Gorda, Florida, 33950













CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
2.0	Framework	3
2.1	Identification of Data	3
3.0	Assessment Process	7
3.1	Development of Improvement Costs	7
3.2	Development of the Implementation a	nd Financial Plan8
4.0	Physical Barriers	9
5.0	Implementation and Financial Plan	10
APP	ENDICIES	
	dix A – Policy & Procedures Memo dix B – Facility Reports AC Freeman House City Hall City Hall Annex Cooper Street Rec Center Fire Station #2 Fire Station #3 Harborwalk Core (Shared Use Path) Harborwalk East (Shared Use Path) Hector House Plaza Herald Court Alley	Hounds on Henry Laishley Municipal Marina Laishley Park Linear Park Marion Avenue Plaza Nature Park Public Safety Complex Public Works Complex Sculpture Park Shreve Street (Shared Use Path) US 41 (Shared Use Path) Virginia Avenue Parking Lot
	Herald Court Centre	ŭ ŭ
Appen	dix C – Rights-of-Way Analysis	
	C-1 Inspection FormsC-2 Punta Gorda Comments on City RoC-3 Request for Accommodation/SidewC-4 City Design Standards	
Appen	dix D - Information Technology Analysis	



Appendix E – Annual Update 2018 Update



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The City of Punta Gorda, Florida is interested in improving access to and use of its facilities and services offered to the public. To that end, the City has contracted Tindale Oliver to provide services to assess each of the facilities and public services to ensure that the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Florida Accessibility Code (FAC) are in full compliance and to develop an ADA Transition Plan to effect work to correct any deficiencies discovered.

The Americans with Disabilities Act prohibits discrimination and ensures equal opportunity for persons with disabilities in employment, State and local government services, public accommodations, commercial facilities, and transportation. The ADA mandates that entities having 50 or more employees must perform a self-evaluation of all public facilities and the services offered by the entity to determine ADA compliance. Further, an ADA Transition Plan is required to be developed to address the removal of any accessibility barriers or ADA compliance issues discovered during the self-assessment process. The ADA Transition Plan establishes a list of improvements necessary to achieve full accessibility of the entities facilities and services. The Plan is to be worked over time based on available resources as established by the entity until all work is completed and full accessibility is reached.

City buildings, parks, sidewalks, parking lots, and other city owned/maintained/run facilities, programs, and services play an important role in every community. Unfortunately, many of these facilities & programs were built without accessibility in mind. Therefore, many individuals with disabilities and their families are often unable to access and participate in many of the activities the rest of the community takes for granted.

According to the federal regulations, when these facilities are built or altered, they must comply with the ADA Standards for Accessible Design, which require the inclusion of accessible features, such as; accessible routes and connections, parking spaces, restroom facilities, spectator seating areas, and signage. The ADA Transition Plan should list all non-accessible elements, programs, and activities and give recommendations and a timeline on how and when these items can be made accessible. Additionally, Title II of the ADA mandates that public a public entity make its programs accessible to people with disabilities, not necessarily each facility or part of a facility. Program accessibility may be achieved by a number of methods. In many situations, providing access to facilities through structural methods, such as alteration of existing facilities and acquisition or construction of additional facilities, may be the most efficient method of providing program accessibility. For example, where the second floor office of a public welfare agency may be entered only by climbing a flight of stairs, an individual





with a mobility impairment seeking information about welfare benefits can be served in an accessible ground floor location or in another accessible building. Similarly, a town may move a public hearing from an inaccessible building to a building that is readily accessible. When choosing among available methods of providing program accessibility, a public entity must give priority to those methods that offer services, programs, and activities in the most integrated setting appropriate.

The City of Punta Gorda would like to have all of their City buildings, parks, parking, pedestrian facilities, programs, and services assessed and an ADA Transition Plan developed to assist them in the remediation of all of the accessibility deficiencies within their facilities, programs, and services, and therefore bring them into compliance with the requirements of the ADA and the FAC.

This project includes a comprehensive assessment of the conditions at the City's facilities, which will identify and help prioritize improvements to address the accessibility of the facilities and access to public services provided. Information relating to the accessibility of each facility will be collected with the purpose of improving the City's staff understanding of accessibility issues and particularly Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements as they relate to facilities and services, as well as to identify which facilities are in compliance with the ADA.

This document serves as a summary report outlining the development of the facilities inventory and database of information gathered during assessments of the facilities, the prioritization of improvements, and the phasing plan to implement improvements based on anticipated funding available over the next several years.





2.0 FRAMEWORK

The Framework Plan outlines the process for conducting the inventory and documents initial concepts and inputs for data needs, inventory structure, data collection procedures, and the initial project schedule. The Framework Plan also includes a proposed action plan identifying the assumptions and responsibilities of each party to ensure the successful completion of this project.

To assist in facilitating the inventory to be completed as part of this project, this framework plan has been prepared which outlines the process for the inventory, as well as documents initial concepts and inputs for data needs, inventory structure, data collection procedures, and proposed project schedule.

2.1 IDENTIFICATION OF DATA

The first step in this effort is to identify what information will be collected at each facility and how it will be collected. The survey methodology proposed for this project's data collection task will be developed based on the previous experience of Tindale Oliver staff, prior ADA Transition Plan project completions, as well as discussions with the City staff. Conference calls and meetings have been held with the Project Team in this regard. The Project Team was convened through a project kick-off meeting specifically to help implement the study, establish its objectives, and provide a level of oversight throughout the course of the project. This team is comprised of City of Punta Gorda planning and administrative staff and Tindale Oliver (TO) technical ADA project staff. Members of this team serve as primary contacts for TO staff throughout the project and will provide all of the data and information to TO that is identified in the project scope of services.

A comprehensive checklist of the proposed data to be collected as part of the inventory of the City's facilities has been compiled, based on initial discussions with City staff. The checklist of data to be collected at each facility includes the following information.

FACILITY AND ASSESSOR IDENTIFICATION

- Date and time assessment initiated
- Date and time assessment completed
- Assessor's name
- Reviewer's name
- Location of facility site/Address/
- GPS Longitude
- GPS Latitude
- Photographs

PRESENCE OF ACCESSIBILITY FEATURES AND ADA COMPLIANCE

- Parking/Drop-off Area?
- Entrances?
- Accessible route(s) description?





- Walkways, sidewalks, street crossings, curb ramps, detectable warnings?
- Stairways, elevators, ramps?
- Gathering places or spaces?
- Restrooms, locker rooms, bathing/shower rooms, toilet rooms?
- Telephones?
- Doors/gates/hardware?
- Water fountains?
- Vending/ATM/Ticketing devices?
- Signage visual and tactile?
- Protruding objects?

DATA COLLECTION TECHNOLOGY

The checklist data has been included in our proprietary application developed in-house by Tindale Oliver to work on Android based smartphones or tablets whereby field capture of specific data is gathered through questions under each of the main topics of those amenities provided at each facility to determine compliance with the ADA requirements. The Yes or No questions are specifically designed to produce an answer of compliance or deficiency with the code and to further expand the questioning to obtain specific information to allow decisions leading to recommendations for remediation of deficiencies. Additional tools used by the assessor during reviews of the City's facilities includes the following.

- Tablet or smartphone or similar piece of equipment programmed to collect the required data utilizing the application developed by TO
- Global Positioning System (GPS) Receiver
- Digital camera
- Smart level
- Measuring wheel
- Tape measure or folding rule

DATA COLLECTION FIELD TEST

At the outset of the project, it was determined that the data collection process would consist of three parts: a field test, training, and the actual survey. The purpose of the field test is to check the established data collection methodology on a selected facility to determine whether any adjustments would need to be made before training is conducted and the actual survey is performed, as well as to test how the technology and equipment work in the field. The field test, including preparation, the actual field test, and a review of the results will be conducted by TO staff and is anticipated to occur the first day of facility assessments.

DATA COLLECTION TRAINING





Based on TO staff's previous experience, as well as results from the field test, a set of procedures was developed outlining the data collection process for the assessors. These procedures included step-by-step instructions to walk the survey team through the initial preparation for data collection, reminders and pointers for collecting data at each location, as well as contact information for appropriate Project Team members to answer questions related to the logistics of the data collection or any other questions, including any technology-related questions or issues that may arise in the field.

To familiarize the assessors with the data necessary to be collected as part of this effort, as well as to provide a forum to answer questions the surveyors may have, TO staff will conduct a refresher training session for both TO assessor staff and any City staff wishing to participate in the assessments. This training will explain the necessary background information and data to be collected as part of the inventory, and to familiarize the assessors with the technology and equipment to be used in the field, including the application interface.

A secondary purpose for the training session is to familiarize City staff with the technology and tools used in the data collection process. It is imperative for City staff to be involved in the initial training process so that future assessments can be accomplished using the process.

The field test also will introduce staff to the facility interview process which will identify the services offered at the facility, the employees assigned to deliver said services, and the degree of competence of the employees to successfully deliver services to individuals with disabilities. Following completion of the field test, TO and City staff will identify and discuss any potential issues or problems resulting from the field test and will have one week to refine the inventory templates and data collection procedures prior to continuing the facility assessments.

DATA COLLECTION

Immediately following the field test and verification of the assessment process, the actual assessment and data collection process will begin. During the assessment process, data collection will occur four days per week, Monday through Thursday, from approximately 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., weather permitting. Fridays have been designated to be quality control days to review the data collected each week to identify any missing or incomplete data that will need to be recollected. TO staff will review the data during each week for quality control, identify any issues that may require additional attention, as well as document any missing or incomplete data that needs to be recollected prior to completing the assessment process. It is anticipated that a continuous quality control process throughout the project will result in a more accurate inventory for the City, rather than completing the quality control process after completion of the entire data collection effort.

Following the completion of the assessment process, the master database will be compiled and reviewed for quality control purposes. The results of the assessment data





that are included in the master database will be used to prepare the ADA Transition Plan, which will identify and prioritize needed improvements and recommend a phasing program for implementing these improvements, based on anticipated funding.

REPORTING PROCESS

As indicated in our project proposal, the findings for each facility assessed under the project will be provided in the form of an Accessibility Assessment Report, or AAR. This AAR conforms to ASTM E2018-01 - Standard Guide for Property Assessments: Baseline Property Condition Assessment Process standards.

The AAR is intended to identify defects or deficiencies in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) and Florida Accessibility Code (FAC) and to recommend necessary improvements that could improve accessibility of the assessed facilities by individuals with disabilities. Our assessment is based on spaces, areas, elements, or features that can or could be accessed by the general public. Attention to equipment or work spaces not allocated for use by individuals with disabilities has not been evaluated. Nevertheless, where work areas that may allow individuals with disabilities to be employed are identified by the facility member interviewed during the introductory stage of the assessment are identified, these areas have been assessed and any deficiencies noted are reported herein.

The date the facility was constructed or renovated is important to determine so that applicable standards can be applied during the assessment process. ADAAG became enforceable in January 1992 with a revision becoming enforceable in 2012. The FAC has had various revisions over the years. This AAR reports deficiencies according to ADAAG and FAC standards as appropriate to the condition assessed.

An informal interview with a designated point of contact for each facility will be conducted prior to performing the physical assessment of the building and surrounding elements. This will provide an overview of the facility's occupancy, use, and history which will establish the spaces and elements frequented by the general public and which must meet the minimum accessibility requirements to provide access to those portions of the facility where services are provided to the public.

The pre-interview process is used to determine and document information relevant to each facility's use in order to determine applicable regulatory standards to apply to the assessment of the facilities. Use and occupancy information is critical in determining compliance with accessibility standards and must be established prior to the physical assessments.

Digital photos are taken throughout the assessment process to document deficiencies or other items necessary to explain conditions to the City in the reporting process. Each report will breakdown any deficiencies with the ADA or FAC requirements and provide a recommended remediation for the deficiency along with an estimated cost to effect the remediation.





POLICIES & PROCEDURES

A meeting was setup with Punta Gorda's ADA Coordinator and the City's policies and procedures were reviewed, per the requirements of the ADA. A memo, provided in Appendix A, provides guidance and determinations concerning the policies, procedures, and practices currently employed by the City and suggests actions needed to meet minimum ADA requirements and to achieve full compliance with the law.

3.0 ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Each facility survey will address each accessible element and space within and external to the building and included applicable elements such as path-of-travel (accessible route), parking, curb ramps, entrances/exits, signage, toilets, drinking fountains, ramps, doors, hardware, and all other occupiable spaces and elements covered by the 1990 and 2010 ADA Standards.

The assessments include physical measurements and counts for components or systems. Survey findings are collected and recorded on Tindale Oliver's custom developed Android based, ADA compliance checklist application. Photographs are taken with the tablet of each area of the facility for familiarization and later referenced to illustrate deficiency findings. The digital data and photographs were then uploaded to a database on our secure servers for backup. Where appropriate, photographs are included in the AARs to illustrate issues or deficiencies where necessary.

Each facility assessment consists of non-intrusive visual observations, which allow for a readily accessible and easily visible components and systems assessment of the facility which include measurements of space and clearance dimensions, slope, walkway widths, reach ranges, maneuverability measurements, etc.

3.1 DEVELOPMENT OF IMPROVEMENT COSTS

In order to develop the Implementation and Financial Plan, unit costs for each type of improvement will be developed. These unit costs are based on recent experiences with other agencies and, when available, standard industry costs when local data was not available. It is important to note that the unit costs include across-the-board assumptions that will need to be reviewed by the City prior to the actual improvement being completed.

Note that the costs included are planning level estimates, once the projects progress through design, the actual construction opinions of cost will become more refined. Also, the City does not have the funding to go out and make all of these improvements at one time, which would offer the most economy of scale. Therefore, cost estimates are reflective of multiple smaller phases that will be more conducive to the funding available.





Again, it should be noted that the estimates are intended to reflect the order-of-magnitude costs for the City's overall facility improvement needs over the timeframe of the plan; for specific projects nearing implementation, it may be necessary for the City to conduct a more detailed cost assessment.

3.2 DEVELOPMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION AND FINANCIAL PLAN

The Implementation and Financial Plan is developed to identify when the improvements should occur, based on the relative priority of the improvements and anticipated level of funding that will be available to address the improvements.

Due to the nature of the quick fix improvements, it is assumed that the majority of the identified quick fix improvements will be completed within the confines of the multi-year plan, listed in the following section.

It would be ideal if the City of Punta Gorda could take advantage of "piggy backing" needed improvements with other planned facility improvement and renovation projects. Under ideal circumstances, this would permit the City to benefit either because the project directly addresses some or all of the needed improvements, or the project allows the City to reduce its improvement costs due to the concurrent construction activities. It is not known at this time the amount of implementation costs that could potentially be saved by completing the improvements concurrent with planned projects. Therefore, potential cost savings through fund leveraging are not included in the Implementation and Financial Plan at this time. In the future, should the desire and ability to estimate the amount of costs that could be reduced through fund leveraging, the cost of the improvements for those impacted improvements may be adjusted.

To develop the plan, the prioritized list of improvements are incorporated into the Implementation and Financial Plan based on the amount of anticipated funding available each year for the improvements as provided by the City.

It should be stressed that the Implementation and Financial Plan will serve as a general guide for the planning of improvements and that several factors will influence the timing for implementation of specific improvements and the overall cost of the program, including:

- Opportunities for partnering with other jurisdictions or organizations on implementing improvements.
- Specific site conditions at individual locations, including landscaping, utilities, drainage, which can have a significant impact on the type of improvements required and the associated cost.
- Contracting opportunities, including awarding a unit-price contract for the implementation of improvements at multiple locations.
- Additional opportunities to relocate or consolidate individual amenities.





On an annual basis, the list of needed improvements will be reviewed against the funding that is available that year to develop a specific work program. As previously mentioned, this will involve development of more detailed cost estimates based on a review of site conditions at individual locations.

4.0 PHYSICAL BARRIERS

As previously described, the City must not deny access to its programs, activities, and services to individuals with disabilities because its facilities are not accessible. Below is a table of the City of Punta Gorda's existing facilities:

Facility Name	Address							
AC Freeman House	311 W Retta Esplanade							
City Hall	326 W Marion Avenue							
City Hall Annex	126 Harvey Street							
Cooper Street Rec Center	650 Mary Street							
Fire Station #2	2601 Acline Road							
Fire Station #3	1623 Aqui Esta Drive							
Harborwalk Core (Shared Use Path)	PG Waterfront Hotel to Laishley Park							
Harborwalk East (Shared Use Path)	Laishley Park to Adrienne Street							
Hector House Plaza	227 Taylor Street							
Herald Court Alley	100 Block of W Marion Ave							
Herald Court Centre	100 Block of Herald Ct							
Hounds on Henry	800 block of W Henry Street							
Laishley Municipal Marina	120 Laishley Court							
Laishley Park	100 E Retta Esplanade							
Linear Park	Fishermen's Village to Cross Street							
Marion Avenue Plaza	100 Block of W Marion Ave							
Nature Park	1555 Aqui Esta Drive							
Public Safety Complex	1410 Tamiami Trail							
Public Works Complex	3130 Cooper Street							
Sculpture Park	1200 block of W Marion Avenue							
Shreve Street (Shared Use Path)	W Virginia Ave to Pompano Terr							
US 41 (Shared Use Path)	Taylor Road to Airport Road							
Virginia Avenue Parking Lot	111 W Virginia Avenue							

Table 4-1 - Assessed Facilities

A self-evaluation of each of the City's facilities, listed in the table above, have been completed and the barriers to accessibility within each of these facilities, potential solutions for mitigation, and estimate of probable cost have been developed in an individual report for each facility, detailed in Appendix B. In addition, the inventory and results of the City's self-evaluation of their public rights-of-way is detailed in Appendix C.





5.0 IMPLEMENTATION AND FINANCIAL PLAN

As shown in Table 5-1, the total estimate of probable cost to mitigate all of the barriers to accessibility is approximately \$2,000,000.

Facility Name	Estimate of Probable Cost
AC Freeman House	\$37,200
City Hall	\$184,400
City Hall Annex	\$48,100
Cooper Street Rec Center	\$141,600
Fire Station #2	\$68,400
Fire Station #3	\$46,400
Harborwalk Core (Shared Use Path)	\$438,500
Harborwalk East (Shared Use Path)	\$95,900
Hector House Plaza	\$27,700
Herald Court Alley	\$4,700
Herald Court Centre	\$81,400
Hounds on Henry	\$49,900
Laishley Municipal Marina	\$105,300
Laishley Park	\$132,800
Linear Park	\$60,000
Marion Avenue Plaza	\$53,100
Nature Park	\$124,200
Public Safety Complex	\$104,300
Public Works Complex	\$31,100
Sculpture Park	\$34,300
Shreve Street (Shared Use Path)	\$49,700
US 41 (Shared Use Path)	\$94,500
Virginia Avenue Parking Lot	\$12,200
Total Estimate of Probable Cost	\$2,025,700

Table 5-1 – Estimates of Probable Cost

Based on the City's current allocation of funds dedicated to ADA improvements as well as their planned CIP projects for facilities that have been identified to have barriers to accessibility, the following 4-year implementation plan has been developed, as shown in Table 5-2.





Facility Name		stimate of	ال	Y 2018		FY 2019	J	Y 2020	Į.	Y 2021	E	Y 2022+
		bable Cost								1 2021		. 2022.
ADA Improve	_		n C	onjunction	W	ith Other	CII	P Project	s			
AC Freeman House	\$	37,200										
City Hall	\$	184,400					L		_	100		
City Hall Annex	\$	48,100					L		H			
Cooper Street Rec Center	\$	141,600					L		H			
Fire Station #2	\$	68,400										
Fire Station #3	\$	46,400					L		L			
Harborwalk Core (Shared Use Path)	\$	438,500										
Harborwalk East (Shared Use Path)	\$	95,900					H		H			
Hector House Plaza	\$	27,700					H		H			
Herald Court Alley	\$	4,700					H		H			
Herald Court Centre	\$	81,400					H		H			
Hounds on Henry	\$	49,900					H		H			
Laishley Municipal Marina	\$	105,300					H		•	20 500		
Laishley Park	\$	132,800					H		\$	28,500		
Linear Park Marion Avenue Plaza	\$	60,000 53,100										
Nature Park	\$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	124,200								
Public Safety Complex	\$	124,200 104,300	Φ	124,200								
Public Works Complex	\$	31,100										
Sculpture Park	\$	34,300					H		H			
Sculpture Fark Shreve Street (Shared Use Path)	\$	49,700					H		H			
US 41 (Shared Use Path)	\$	94,500					\$	13,000	Н			
Virginia Avenue Parking Lot	\$	12.200					Ψ	13,000	H			
Virginia Avende i arking Lot		,										
Facility Name		stimate of bable Cost	ı	Y 2018	ı	FY 2019	F	Y 2020	F	Y 2021	F	Y 2022+
AC Freeman House	\$	37,200	\$	1,900	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	35,30
City Hall	\$	184,400	\$	25,900	\$	158,500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
City Hall Annex	\$	48,100	\$	48,100	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Cooper Street Rec Center	\$	141,600	\$	141,600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Fire Station #2	\$	68,400	\$	4,800	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	63,60
Fire Station #3	\$	46,400	\$	3,700	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	42,70
Harborwalk Core (Shared Use Path)	\$	438,500	\$	7,200	\$	54,400	\$	51,600	\$	49,100	\$	276,20
Harborwalk East (Shared Use Path)	\$	95,900	\$	9,700	\$	-	\$	86,200	\$	-	\$	-
Hector House Plaza	\$	27,700	\$	-	\$	27,700	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Herald Court Alley	\$	4,700		2,500	\$	2,200	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Herald Court Centre	\$	81,400	\$	6,800	\$	74,600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Hounds on Henry	\$	49,900	\$	600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	49,30
Laishley Municipal Marina	\$	105,300	\$	22,900	\$	82,400	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Laishley Park	\$	132,800	\$	3,400	\$	-	\$	-	\$	129,400	\$	-
Linear Park	\$	60,000	\$	4,000	\$	56,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Marion Avenue Plaza	\$	53,100	\$	700	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	52,40
Nature Park	\$	153,200		124,200	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	29,00
Public Safety Complex	\$	104,300		9,300	_	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	95,00
Public Works Complex	\$	31,100		4,300	_	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	26,80
Sculpture Park	\$	34,300	_	6,400		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	27,90
Shreve Street (Shared Use Path)	\$	49,700		6,000		43,700	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
US 41 (Shared Use Path)	\$	94,500		700	_	-	\$	13,000	\$	-	\$	80,80
Virginia Avenue Parking Lot	\$	12,200		-	\$	-	\$	12,200	\$	-	\$	-
		2,054,700	\$	434,700		499,500		163,000		178,500	\$	779,00
CIP ADA Improvements Funds			\$	325,000		485,000		150,000		150,000	\$	-
Other CIP Projects			\$	124,200	\$	-	\$	13,000	\$	28,500	\$	-
Legend												
All or partial improvements mitigated in conj Quick-Fix items. Facility partially improved				oroject.								

Table 5-2 – Implementation Plan





As shown in Table 5-2, it is recommended that in the first year of the Implementation Plan, all of the barriers to accessibility identified as "Quick-Fix" items be mitigated. In the following years of the plan, other facilities will have their barriers to accessibility removed, either through the use of the City's \$1,110,000 5-year CIP Citywide ADA Improvements project or it will be fully or partially funded and completed in conjunction with other CIP projects dedicated to improving that particular facility.

By mitigating the quick-fix items during the first year of the Implementation Plan, the City of Punta Gorda is able to remove many of the City's barriers to accessibility quickly and relatively cheaply. At the end of this 4-year plan, 12 of the 23 facilities should be fully ADA compliant. The remaining facilities, while still not fully compliant with the ADA would have had some accessibility improvements competed due to the quick-fix items.

All quick fix items total \$136,900 and are programmed in the first year, which is funded for improvements totaling \$325,000 for FY 2018. For the remaining balance of the first year's allocation, it is recommended to improve the City Hall Annex and the Cooper Street Rec Center because of the public traffic they generate and the fact that it can be made compliant with that amount of remaining funds.

The following year, Fiscal Year 2019, \$485,000 is programmed, in which, in addition to starting on the partial mitigation of the Harborwalk Core, 7 additional facilities are recommended to be fully improved due to the severity of the issues found at these locations, the likelihood that a member of the public with a disability may access the facilities, the total cost to mitigate the barriers to accessibility, the dispersal of the various facilities to be improved throughout the City, and the vicinity of these facilities to underprivileged and mobility impaired populations within the City. Each consecutive years' recommendations is based on a similar methodology so that the facilities that may be most prone to generate an ADA-related complaint is addressed first, while lower risk facilities are programmed in the later years.

Based on the limited funded projected to be programmed for ADA improvements up to FY2021, it is expected that the implementation of all of the recommendations will carry out beyond Fiscal Year 2022, with a balance of \$750,000 worth of improvements being carried into these out years. Based on the City's current level of funding for ADA improvements of approximately \$150,000 per year, it is estimated that all the barriers to accessibility can be mitigated by the year 2026. However, if funding is increased or grants are obtained, these accessibility improvements may be advanced and completed sooner.

It is important that every time the CIP is developed, funds be allocated to ADA improvements, at least until all of the above mentioned facilities are fully ADA compliant. However, as the City's funding and priorities change over time, so to can the amount and order in which these improvements be mitigated in. The City just needs to make sure that each year progress is being made to remediate the various barriers to accessibility so that in the near future, the City of Punta Gorda will be fully accessible to all its citizens, employees, and visitors.



