

**CITY OF PUNTA GORDA, FLORIDA
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 2, 2020, 9:00 A.M.**

COUNCILMEMBERS PRESENT: Carey, Cummings, Matthews, Miller, Prafke

CITY EMPLOYEES PRESENT: Kristin Simeone, Finance; Rick Keeney, Public Works; Charles Pavlos, Utilities; Phil Wickstrom, Human Resources; Joan LeBeau, Urban Design; Pamela Davis, Police; Ray Briggs, Fire; City Attorney Levin; City Manager Murray; City Clerk Smith

Mayor Matthews called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Invocation was given by Mr. Carlo Gargiulo, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance and a visit from Santa.

Ms. Margaret Bogardus requested City Council deny Harbor Social's request to close Sullivan Street pursuant to events they intended to hold, expressing concern regarding large crowds in public places, failure to adhere to the Centers for Disease Control's (CDC) COVID-19 guidelines and potential loss of revenue in the amount of approximately \$12,350 for the Punta Gorda Historical Society.

Mr. Jimmy Paquette, Harbor Social, explained the event request, noting the street closure was to allow people to spread out. He stated the most important event was their grand opening in January 2021, announcing they had cancelled the Super Bowl party in February 2021. He reassured Councilmembers regarding trash clean up, containment of alcoholic beverages and limited music amplification, requesting approval of the grand opening event.

Mr. Anthony Dubbaneh voiced appreciation for Harbor Social working with the community in response to the concerns expressed, adding he was amenable to a weekend event which began after 5:00 p.m. on Friday provided the street was reopened early on Monday. He noted if this request were approved, other businesses might request street closures for private events.

City Manager Murray read public comments received via email into the record: Ms. Kelly Gaylord, Punta Gorda Historic Mural Society, President, requested language be included in the sign ordinance requiring standards for business mural creation and maintenance; Mr. Bob Fritz expressed concern regarding the residential density proposed in the Comprehensive Plan, suggesting use of a planning tool known as Floor Area Ratio; Ms. Debbie and Mr. Steve Bales, Ms. Maryanne Hood, Mr. Richard Miller, Ms. Della Booth, Ms. Sandy Moon, Ms. Constance Robison, Mr. John Peterman, Ms. Sue and Mr. Don Crasny, Ms. Jennifer French, Ms. Sherry Sims, Mr. Frank Desguin, Ms. Beth

Magnin and Sheila Jaeger voiced objection to closure of Sullivan Street for the proposed events as requested by Harbor Social; however, Ms. June Langley and Ms. Laurie Barnett voiced approval of a trial run after COVID-19 was no longer an issue.

PROCLAMATION/PRESENTATIONS

None.

Introduction of Board/Committee Member Nominees

Mr. Bob Bartell explained he had applied for the General Employees' Pension Board; however, he deferred to Mr. Bill Page who had also applied for the seat.

NOTE: City Council recessed and reconvened as the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) (see corresponding minutes).

PUBLIC HEARINGS

GA-15-2020 An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Punta Gorda, Florida, amending the general provisions of Chapter 17, "Public Utilities", Punta Gorda Code, to increase monthly utility rates, decrease water system connection fees, increase wastewater system connection fees, and adopt other miscellaneous charges associated with the provision of water and wastewater services within the Punta Gorda Utility Service Area; providing for conflict and severability; and providing an effective date.

SECOND READING

City Attorney Levin read the ordinance by title, noting this second reading was a public hearing.

Mayor Matthews called three times for public comment.

Councilmember Prafke **MOVED** to close the public hearing, **SECONDED** by Councilmember Cummings.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

Councilmember Prafke **MOVED** approval of GA-15-2020, **SECONDED** by Councilmember Miller.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

QUASI-JUDICIAL PUBLIC HEARINGS

None.

ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION

No Public Hearing Required

GA-14-2020 - An Ordinance of the City of Punta Gorda, Florida, amending the Punta Gorda Code, Chapter 23, "Traffic", Article I, "In General", Reserved Section 23-5, to adopt traffic regulations prohibiting left turns at the Cooper Street and E. McKenzie Street intersection during certain times of day; providing for conflict and severability; and providing an effective date.

SECOND READING

City Attorney Levin read the ordinance by title.

Councilmember Prafke **MOVED** approval of GA-14-2020, **SECONDED** by Councilmember Carey.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

NOTE: The Consent Agenda was heard following Event Permit 20-161061.

CONSENT AGENDA

Councilmember Prafke **MOVED** approval of the Consent Agenda, **SECONDED** by Councilmember Carey.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

- A. City Clerk's Department
 - 1. Approval of Minutes: Regular Meeting of November 18, 2020
- B. Legal Department
 - 1. Monthly Litigation Report
 - 2. Invoices of Allen, Norton & Blue, P.A. for legal services rendered in October 2020
- C. Finance Department
 - 1. Approval of Amendment #1 to Agreement U2019106 with Lago Consulting & Services LLC of Tampa, FL.
- D. Urban Design Division
 - 1. A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Punta Gorda, Florida, as local host agency for the Coastal and Heartland National Estuary Partnership, accepting funding from Manatee County for implementing the Fiscal Year 2021 CHNEP Workplan and Budget; authorizing the City Manager to sign the funding agreement and administer the funding on behalf of the City; and providing an effective date.

BUDGET

Award of Sole Source Purchase Agreement to Axon Enterprise of Scottsdale, AZ for In-car Video Camera Systems

Ms. Julie Rogan-Sutter, Procurement, explained the Axon system was selected based on existing equipment utilized by the Police Department, noting same would sync with the body camera and tasers and would allow interoperability between the body and in-car cameras. She reported the lump-sum cost was \$192,351 payable over a 5-year period with camera purchases spread over 5 years, concluding staff recommended award of the agreement to Axon Enterprise.

Councilmember Prafke inquired if the systems were proprietary.

Chief Pam Davis replied affirmatively. She then explained the system would be wireless and staff would have immediate access to the video. She confirmed an upgrade was available so that the cameras could be instantly accessed.

Mr. Jason Ciaschini, Police Captain, stated the existing system was becoming antiquated, noting the technology of the proposed system was more advanced and could upload video as soon as its metadata was entered. He clarified integration of the system with the Police Department's body cameras would allow upload of those videos in the field as well. He confirmed a live view of videos was available but was in its infancy.

Councilmember Carey suggested same be included in the next budget cycle.

Councilmember Prafke **MOVED** approval of the sole source purchase agreement to Axon Enterprise, **SECONDED** by Councilmember Cummings.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Sign Code Survey Results

City Attorney Levin provided an extensive overview of the legal framework to be considered in this matter. He provided a review of the Supreme Court decision in Reed versus Town of Gilbert (Gilbert) and the United States Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals decision in Dimmitt versus City of Clearwater (Clearwater) which found the freedom of speech granted by the First Amendment limited sign regulations to those which were content neutral, such as restrictions related to the dimensions, location and number of signs per parcel/mile of roadway. He concluded the City's existing sign code was clearly in violation of the Supreme Court's interpretation of the First Amendment, explaining the draft presented this date was based on comments from the court decisions in the Gilbert and Clearwater cases.

Ms. Hannon recalled City Council had provided direction to staff at their May 20, 2020, meeting to gather additional information. She outlined the process used to survey businesses and residents regarding the dimensions of residential and commercial signs as well as related considerations. She drew members' attention to the results of the survey, as delineated in the agenda material, noting next steps included gathering input from the Planning Commission, City Council and the public, revision of the draft ordinance and then adoption of same.

Councilmember Miller stated political and real estate signs were not addressed, adding there were no time limits.

Councilmember Carey stated to do it otherwise would not be content neutral.

City Attorney Levin clarified times could be established for all signs without distinguishing the type of sign.

Councilmember Miller pointed out the concerns expressed were primarily related to political and real estate signs.

Councilmember Prafke stated Section 11.10 on page 14 should mention the Special Residential Overlay. She stated discussion was needed regarding the number and size of free-standing signs to be allowed in residential and commercial areas, indicating staff had addressed other signs satisfactorily. She stated many residents favored smaller signs in residential areas, particularly the currently allowed 18-inch by 24-inch size at a 3-foot height, with 2 allowed per yard.

Councilmember Carey suggested there be a maximum square footage.

Mayor Matthews suggested certain provisions should be reinstated until a revised sign ordinance could be adopted as the moratorium was being abused. She then asked to see the survey comments.

Councilmember Prafke opined the code should be simple, commenting enforcement could be reinstated.

Councilmember Carey voiced concern too much simplification could result in loopholes and abuse.

Mayor Matthews suggested Ms. Gaylord's comments regarding murals could be incorporated.

Ms. Hannon stated staff would review those comments with the City Attorney.

City Attorney Levin stated he had no concern with the comments made regarding maintenance and materials as those regulations did not concern content.

Councilmember Carey clarified Ms. Gaylord objected to others not being held to the same standards as the Punta Gorda Historic Mural Society.

City Attorney Levin advised litigation regarding murals and artwork was less substantial than that regarding signs, opining the degree of regulation imposed upon the Mural Society went beyond the City's legal authority; however, the City could require the appearance of artwork to be maintained.

Councilmember Miller drew attention to page 13, inquiring as to the requirement for permits.

City Attorney Levin replied same was intended to allow the City to utilize square footage rather than quantity as a limitation.

Mayor Matthews objected to permits.

Councilmember Prafke agreed with exceptions for permanent commercial signs. She then drew members' attention to page 15, questioning whether the section concerning flags had changed.

Ms. Hannon replied gaff/yardarm style flagpoles had been clarified.

Councilmember Carey voiced concern regarding vulgar content being allowed on residential flags.

Mr. Dryburgh stated the Board of Realtors was fine with any sign size. He suggested the City Code could include language that stated, "as proposed by the Board of Realtors". He stated the Board was imposing limits on themselves so there was only one open house directional sign on a corner, noting same must be initialed by the person placing the sign with removal required by 5 p.m. He concluded the Board was willing to work with the City, requesting directional signs be allowed.

Councilmember Miller inquired whether the Board of Realtors could develop a sign (with approval from the City) and regulate its use.

City Attorney Levin responded as a result of the United States Supreme Court Town of Gilbert decision, realtor signs had been subject to potential regulation under the content neutral provisions of the Court's decision. He inquired as to the National Board of Realtors suggestions on how realtors should deal with regulations that were now mandated by the Supreme Court.

Mr. Dryburgh replied there were none, adding every city and county had done it on their own and most cities and counties allowed directional signs. He inquired if regulations for open house signs were content neutral.

City Attorney Levin replied in the negative, pointing out the Town of Gilbert decision revolved around a directional sign. He stated unless the Board of Realtors was willing to indemnify the City of Punta Gorda for whatever damages it would be subject to in a civil rights 1983 action, he could not in good conscious suggest to City Council that Mr. Dryburgh's proposal that the Board of Realtors were responsible for the sign rather than the City was an acceptable solution to the problem.

Discussion ensued regarding directional signs as allowed within the proposed sign code, with City Attorney Levin clarifying directional signs would be allowed on private property provided the total number of signs did not exceed what was permitted.

Councilmember Miller inquired if directional signs could be placed in the right-of-way (ROW).

City Attorney Levin replied City Council needed to decide whether private signs would be allowed in the ROW (considered to be an off-premise sign), indicating a permitting process would be needed to regulate same.

Ms. Hannon advised the only off-premise signs currently allowed were for special events.

Councilmember Prafke pointed out directional signs could be installed with a property owner's permission, questioning if signs could be allowed in the ROW between certain hours by permit.

Mayor Matthews voiced concern the City did not have sufficient staff for a sign permit program.

Mr. Dryburgh stated he could work with staff to devise a solution.

City Manager Murray inquired as to regulating signs for one-time events.

City Attorney Levin replied rules imposing time restrictions on signs advertising a one-time event might not be content based, emphasizing different requirements could not be established for different types of events.

Councilmember Prafke drew members' attention to page 17, confirming Councilmembers agreed signs could not be placed within 10 feet of the edge of the roadway payment. She proposed a maximum area of 3 square feet and a maximum height of 3 feet.

Discussion ensued regarding sign size and height with consensus to allow a maximum of 4 square feet in area and 3 feet in height, and no more than 4 signs per parcel.

Councilmember Carey commented time limits could not be imposed on signs.

City Attorney Levin replied case law suggested a prohibition on placing time limits on political signs. He stated the question was whether the City desired to become involved in such regulation.

Councilmember Prafke drew members' attention to page 22, which concerned the dimensions of freestanding signs on commercial property, noting signs were limited to 1 per parcel.

Mayor Matthews opined it was not possible to regulate the number of freestanding signs on commercial property.

Ms. Hannon voiced concern regarding sign clutter on commercial properties, suggesting a limit be established.

Discussion ensued regarding limitations for free-standing signs on commercial property, with consensus to allow a maximum of 32 square feet in area and 6 feet in height with a maximum of 5 signs per acre.

Councilmember Prafke inquired whether security and no solicitation signs were included in the calculation of residential signs. She inquired whether the canals were considered to be in the ROW.

City Attorney Levin replied sign regulations addressed the entirety of the property, indicating signs could be placed up to but not upon the seawall.

Councilmember Miller drew members' attention to page 14 which stated signs could not be placed on fences.

A brief discussion ensued with consensus to allow signs on fences and count same toward the total allowed on the property.

Councilmember Carey questioned if flags counted as signs.

Ms. Hannon replied in the negative.

City Attorney Levin opined a flag not mounted on a flagpole would be included in the total sign count, questioning whether City Council desired to regulate same.

Councilmember Miller questioned if decorative signs, such as welcome signs, would be counted toward the total number of signs allowed.

City Attorney Levin replied in the affirmative; however, same would not count if mounted on a bracket fixed to a building.

Councilmember Carey spoke in favor of addressing flags.

City Attorney Levin indicated flags with immoral language could be prohibited.

Mayor Matthews questioned if City Council desired to reinstate enforcement of the current sign regulations.

City Attorney Levin advised if the City's sign code was challenged as being unconstitutional, the Court would likely invalidate the code at that time; however, same was unlikely to occur prior to the City adopting a new code. He indicated his greatest concern was a civil rights claim under Section 1983 of the United States Code which could involve damages.

NOTE: A short break was called at 12:41 p.m.

Status Report on the City of Punta Gorda Park and Recreation Master Plan 2020 Update

Ms. Fabiana Solano, Urban Design Planning Intern, provided a presentation on the level of service (LOS) for parks and their maintenance, explaining the establishment of these levels would guide development of the park system over the next 10 to 20 years. She noted the City had switched to a proximity-based LOS measure for parks while LOS for maintenance, which was used in planning, performing and evaluating maintenance activities, was ranked either low or high.

Mayor Matthews inquired as to a timeframe for the draft.

Ms. Fabiano replied it would be available at the next meeting.

Ms. Joan LeBeau, Urban Design Manager, stated the draft would be provided prior to presentation at City Council.

Discussion of the proposed changes to the City of Punta Gorda Comprehensive Plan – Coastal Management, Housing, Transportation, Historic and Future Land Use Elements

Mayor Matthews announced the City Manager had requested this item be heard at the January 6, 2021, City Council meeting.

Councilmember Prafke recalled the majority of Councilmembers previously had indicated density needed to be clarified, expressing preference to define the impacts of densities being considered within the Comprehensive Plan amendment in order to ensure the form based codes would conform to City Council’s desires.

Councilmember Carey concurred, pointing out the Comprehensive Plan still referenced “stories” which City Council had objected to.

Mayor Matthews noted City Council had also objected to roundabouts.

City Manager Murray explained requirements which would be determined in the form based codes were needed in order to provide City Council a model which would clarify density. He indicated staff could develop a process to achieve same and could present it next month if City Council desired.

Consensus of Council was to do so.

Mr. Mitchell Austin, Chief Planner, apologized the presentation had not been modified to amend certain language. He then suggested the Comprehensive Plan indicate that certain intersections should be evaluated.

NEW BUSINESS

NOTE: Event Permit 20-161061 was heard following GA-14-2020.

Event Permit: 20-161061 Harbor Social Live Entertainment Weekends – 2021 dates: Jan. 22-25, Feb. 26-29, March 19-22, April 16-19, May 7-10

Ms. Lisa Hannon, Zoning Official, announced Mr. Paquette had cancelled the Super Bowl event requested in Permit 20-161060. She then explained Harbor Social was proposing a series of live entertainment weekends which involved closure of a portion of Sullivan Street from West Marion Avenue to the alley adjacent to the Women’s Club at 118 Sullivan Street, noting a revised site plan had been provided and the requested duration of the closure had changed to Friday at 4 p.m. to Monday at 8 a.m. She indicated the sidewalk to the west as well as the driveway, parking lot and alleyway on Sullivan Street would remain accessible at all times. She then reviewed a list of other approved street closures. She concluded staff recommended approval, noting after all public safety and technical issues such as maintenance of traffic, fire safety and police standby requirements were satisfied, staff had no basis to deny the request.

Councilmember Carey questioned whether the event organizer was required to contact the businesses affected by the event.

Ms. Hannon replied in the affirmative, stating her understanding was the applicant had begun meeting with businesses along Sullivan Street.

Councilmember Carey voiced concern they had not complied with the request.

Ms. Hannon clarified notification of a closure rather than approval from nearby businesses was required.

Councilmember Carey noted some citizens were not in favor of closing a public street for a private business.

Ms. Hannon noted the Downtown Punta Gorda Farmers Market (Farmers Market) was private and Sullivan Street Arts and Craft Fairs (Craft Fair) was for profit.

Councilmember Carey pointed out those events were free and open to the public, emphasizing this event was different in that it was open to customers only.

Ms. Hannon stated that was not addressed in the event application. She stated an event fence would be used to contain alcohol to the allowed area. She advised the service, sales or consumption of alcohol on the street would require an extension of the licensed premises. She then stated the applicant was provided an example of a letter which could be used to notify surrounding businesses of the street closure.

Councilmember Miller inquired whether notification was supposed to occur prior to or following approval of the event.

Ms. Hannon responded the notification would need to take place prior to issuance of the event permit if City Council approved same.

Councilmember Cummings stated there was a limited amount of time (season) for businesses to make money, adding if this was approved it should be a Friday night event, cleaned up by the Saturday morning and assurance that surrounding businesses were not adversely impacted.

Councilmember Prafke stated approval should be consistent and not arbitrary. She announced she had met with the Paquettes and Mr. Laishley, opining they had thought of everything, including the level of sound and its impact on neighboring businesses. She stated if one business was allowed to close the street, then all businesses should be allowed to apply, voicing hesitation to do so. She agreed the street should be cleaned up by the following morning.

Mayor Matthews stated a policy decision regarding private businesses holding such events was needed.

Councilmember Carey voiced concern the applicant had not spoken with all the Councilmembers to answer their questions.

Councilmember Cummings opined there should be some balance, opining street closures were part of the downtown.

Councilmember Miller inquired if all of the affected businesses had been contacted.

Mr. Jimmy Paquette, Harbor Social, acknowledged notification of businesses was required, adding they intended to do so but had misinterpreted when to do so.

Mayor Matthews inquired why the event could not be limited to the business's property.

Mr. Paquette replied same could be done; however, they had considered hiring several well-known bands and he was concerned the number of attendees could not be safely accommodated on the property.

Mayor Matthews stated same should be considered when scheduling an event during the era of COVID, adding the City was being cautious about issuing event permits for large crowds.

Mr. Paquette stated if the event had to be scaled back to the business footprint, they would not hire the bigger name bands. He then explained events were not part of the business plan but rather a way to generate excitement.

Councilmember Miller noted Harbor Social met many criteria for compliance with COVID-19 guidelines; however, the pandemic was worsening, and the time was not right to hold a large event.

City Attorney Levin advised the Governor's Executive Order was clear that the City could not impose limitations on restaurant occupancy based on COVID-19 without an economic analysis. He stated the event before Council today was closure of the street, adding any action taken this date should not be in conflict with the Order.

Councilmember Prafke stated if Council decided to establish a policy to allow businesses to have a once-per-year street closure, it would be necessary to establish criteria and updated the event manual to clarify all affected businesses must be contacted, suggesting same be a separate discussion. She stated Council could then decide whether to allow anything at this particular time to make it clear to the Paquettes.

Mayor Matthews agreed, stating a separate discussion was necessary to establish a policy prior to issuance of an event permit for St. Patrick's Day. She requested staff bring an item to Council to establish such a policy as soon as possible. She stated City Council had received numerous calls and emails regarding this event, concluding approval would go against citizens' wishes.

City Attorney Levin stated policy or not, the overriding consideration for street closures was whether it was for the public's benefit, pointing out the Farmers Market was

primarily beneficial to the public at large. He stated if the event was not for the public at large, same was a basis for denial.

Councilmember Cummings stated all businesses doing well was good for the City.

Mayor Matthews opined some businesses would be harmed by the event.

Councilmember Prafke stated if tickets were being sold, an event was not for the benefit of the public at large.

Councilmember Cummings suggested approving one grand-opening event for a single Friday night.

Ms. Hannon stated if same was approved, additional events would then require a new application.

Mayor Matthews suggested one-day events only be allowed a one-day street closure.

Councilmember Carey inquired as to the minimum time needed for the street closure.

Mr. Paquette responded 2 hours was needed; however, they would prefer 3 hours to set up and take down the stage and fencing.

Councilmember Carey inquired as to timing if the event were scheduled for Saturday.

Mr. Paquette replied music would not start until 5 p.m. or later, with set up occurring around lunchtime and clean up beginning late that night or early the following morning. He stated they wanted to work with and be beneficial to the community.

Councilmember Miller questioned if a one- or two-day event was requested.

Mr. Paquette replied two days – Friday and Saturday. He stated no tickets would be sold, explaining there would be one entrance and one exit with a staff member monitoring the number of attendees.

Councilmember Carey inquired how to limit occupancy on the street.

City Attorney Levin replied same could not be considered; however, concerns regarding crowds on the streets failing to social distance could be taken into account when considering approval of the street closure.

Councilmember Prafke **MOVED** approval of a one-time event on Saturday, January 23, 2021, with Sullivan Street closed no earlier than 2:00 p.m. with clean up completed by 6 a.m. on Sunday, January 24, 2021, **SECONDED** by Councilmember Cummings.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

Councilmember Carey confirmed Mr. Paquette would speak with the owners of affected businesses.

NOTE: A short break was called at 10:47 a.m.

Event Permit 20-161060: Harbor Social Super Bowl Entertainment February 7, 2021

See discussion above.

RECOMMENDATION FROM CITY OFFICERS

CITY MANAGER

City Manager Murray wished everyone a pleasant holiday season and thanked the City's employees for their hard work throughout the year. He then announced the Charlotte County Airport Authority had recently chosen not to proceed with a proposal for a partnership concerning operations, questioning whether City Council desired to include an item on the City's legislative agenda which would enable Councilmembers to consider the proposal.

Councilmember Cummings spoke in favor of proceeding.

Mayor Matthews commented in favor of having the conversation.

Councilmembers Carey, Miller and Prafke concurred.

CITY ATTORNEY

No comments.

BOARDS AND COMMITTEES

Announcement of Vacancies

Board of Zoning Appeals Alternate (2)

Building Board – Alternate

Utility Advisory Board

City Clerk Smith announced the vacancies.

Nominations

General Employees' Pension Board

City Clerk Smith stated based on Mr. Bartell's comments earlier in the meeting, he had withdrawn his name from consideration; therefore, City Council could nominate and appoint Mr. Bill Page.

Councilmember Carey **MOVED** to nominate and appoint Mr. Page, **SECONDED** by Councilmember Prafke.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

POLICY AND LEGISLATION

MATTHEWS: Extended condolences to Ms. Erica Jackson, wished everyone a merry Christmas and happy holidays and thanked staff for their hard work this year.

MILLER: Wished everyone a merry Christmas and a happy New Year and voiced appreciation for the responsiveness of City's staff.

CAREY: Announced painting of the mural on the wall at Veterans Park would begin shortly, urging people to stop by and see the artist at work.

– Expressed appreciation for the City's staff.

CUMMINGS: Wished everyone a merry Christmas, happy Hanukkah and happy holiday season.

PRAFKE: Commented on the usefulness of Charlotte County's app for citizens to make requests and ask questions.

- Wished everyone a merry Christmas, happy Hanukkah and a happy New Year.

CITIZENS COMMENTS

No further comments.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:20 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk